

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,941	03/13/2001	Koichi Ikeshima	WATK:210	9068
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. Suite 210			EXAMINER	
			DICUS, TAMRA	
1421 Prince Street Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/803,941	IKESHIMA, KOICHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tamra L. Dicus	1774				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
1	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
1	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action on (2) the data and (1) t						
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the extension.						
ı	as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) Lighthey raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
ŀ	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		issues which were newly				
	. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		or appointed.				
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Ç	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
1	10. Other:						
_							

<u>~~~</u>



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation to the material of the outer wall of crystalline cordierite has not been previously presented..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has not disclosed an application process, while application processes are known in the art e.g. dipping, the Applicant has not disclosed a process. Therefore the 112 rejection is upheld for reasons of record. Since the same materials and places of application are the same, one can only come to one conclusion, the TEC differential is present. All cited references provide this teaching. EP '042 to Kumazawa provides for compressive stresses applied from the outer wall to the inside at page 4, Il 16-25. Machida teaches the same materials and firing processes. See col. 3, but none of the claims mention this property. Kumanzawa '446 teaches the same catalyst as Applicant-active alumina on the outer layer in patented claim 5. The rejections are therefore maintained for reasons of record.

Cyntht Kelly